

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/657,457	Applicant(s) WALLACE, RAYMOND CURTIS	
	Examiner Yuriy Semenenko	Art Unit 2841	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Yuriy Semenenko.
YS

 (3) Kathleen L. Connell (reg. No: 45344).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See next page.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner noted that product claims may have been allowable but this determination require further amendments and further consideration including consideration of rejoinder. Examiner called applicant to see if he would be willing to amend the claims including the method claims so they could be rejoined if the product claim were allowed. Applicant gave a positive indication on the phone. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given by applicant's representative.